

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,469	08/06/2001	Jeffrey Todd Tuller	01-9343	1536
25189 7.	590 08/04/2004	EXAMINER		INER
	CISLO & THOMAS, LLP		MATHEW, FENN C	
233 WILSHIR SUITE 900	E BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA MON	ICA, CA 90401-1211		3764	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1W			
	Applicant(s)				
	TULLER ET AL.				
	Art Unit				
	3764				
heet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a endment which places the application in ; or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued					
r a) or b)]					
the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In IS from the mailing date of the final rejection. MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
orresponding amo by period for reply	R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	ropriate extension Office action; or			
ed within the people oid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
nd/or search (see NOTE below);				
ppeal by materially reducing or simplifying the					
ng number of finally rejected claims.					
bmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment					
as been considered but does NOT place the					
ted SOLELY to issues which were newly					
) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/923,469	TULLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fenn C Mathew	3764	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover s

THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed ame condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

The after-final amendments filed on 06/01/2004 have added limitations to the finally rejected claims that would require further search and consideration. As such, amendments will not be entered.

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700